UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
09/753,879	01/03/2001 L.		P04619US0	1966	
22885 7590 07/31/2007 MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			FRENEL, VANEL		
	SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
	,		3627		
				,	_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/753,879	HAVARD, L. CADE
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Vanel Frenel	3627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Fallu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/8/0</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 9-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 9-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment	• •	_	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 09/753,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on 5/8/07. Claims 2, 5-8 and 12-19 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-4, and 9-11 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lockwood et al (5,845,254), Goodroe et al (2002/0123905), "For Health Benefits, Point and Click by Leonard Bill (HR Magazine 45, 7, 42, July 2000" in view of "Alternatives to traditional capitation in managed care agreements, Healthcare Financial Management, Westchester, April 1998 by Kevin M. Kennedy; Daniel J. Merlino.
- (A) Claim 1 has been amended to recite the limitations of: "wherein the future healthcare savings are projected based upon historical charges and historical physician charges for the participant, health care network discounts for hospital charges, healthcare network discounts for physician charges, and a portion of the historical health care costs projected to fall to a healthcare provider in the network".

Lockwood, Goodroe and Leonard do not explicitly disclose "wherein the future healthcare savings are projected based upon historical charges and historical physician charges for the participant, health care network discounts for hospital charges, healthcare network discounts for physician charges, and a portion of the historical health care costs projected to fall to a healthcare provider in the network".

However, this feature is known in the art, as evidenced by Kevin. In particular, Kevin suggested that the method having "wherein the future healthcare savings are projected based upon historical charges and historical physician charges for the participant, health care network discounts for hospital charges, healthcare network discounts for physician charges, and a portion of the historical health care costs projected to fall to a healthcare provider in the network" (See Kevin, Page 3, Paragraphs 6-7; Page 4, Paragraphs 4-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Kevin within the collective teachings of Lockwood, Goodroe and Leonard with the motivation of providing capitation arrangements with quality and hospital utilization bonuses, under which specialists and primary care physicians receive a capitation payment plus the potential for bonuses based on quality and utilization criteria (See Kevin, Page 1, Abstract).

(B) Claim 9 has been amended to recite the limitations of: "hospital charges and physician charges for plan participants, network discounts for hospital charges and network discounts for physician charges".

Application/Control Number: 09/753,879

Art Unit: 3627

Lockwood, Goodroe and Leonard do not explicitly disclose "hospital charges and physician charges for plan participants, network discounts for hospital charges and network discounts for physician charges".

Page 4

However, this feature is known in the art, as evidenced by Kevin. In particular, Kevin suggested that the method having "hospital charges and physician charges for plan participants, network discounts for hospital charges and network discounts for physician charges" (See Kevin, Page 3, Paragraphs 6-7; Page 4, Paragraphs 4-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Kevin within the collective teachings of Lockwood, Goodroe and Leonard with the motivation of providing capitation arrangements with quality and hospital utilization bonuses, under which specialists and primary care physicians receive a capitation payment plus the potential for bonuses based on quality and utilization criteria (See Kevin, Page 1, Abstract).

(C) Claims 3-4 and 10-11 have not been amended, are therefore rejected for the same reasons given in the previous Office Action, and incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/8/07 with respect to claims 1, 3-4, 9-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,879

Art Unit: 3627

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zeender, Ryan Florian can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,879

Art Unit: 3627

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

√, *F* ∨.f

Art Unit 3627

July 10, 2007

MICHAEL CUFF

AND EXAMINER